

Information Visualization

- Related to but, in many ways, distinct from interaction design is the area of *information visualization* — the study of how data can be presented for maximum comprehension and clarity (*cognition*)
- Information visualization focuses on the “computer-to-human” direction of interaction design
- In Don Norman’s language, information visualization seeks to ensure that the *system image* communicates the designer or developer’s *mental model* to the user as clearly and accurately as possible

- Aside from read-only displays (including non-electronic media), information visualization also dovetails nicely with the direct manipulation interaction style, due to its reliance on the *visibility* of its components
 - ◆ It should be of no surprise, then, to learn that Shneiderman has contributed work to the field
- Other key contributors to the field include:
 - ◆ Stuart K. Card and Jock D. Mackinlay: Information visualization in electronic, interactive media
 - ◆ Edward Tufte: Information visualization in printed, high-resolution media
 - ◆ Colin Ware: Advanced data visualization, with special interest in applications to ocean mapping

Common Information Visualization Themes

- More than just charts and graphs or 3D displays, information visualization pays special attention to:
 - ◊ Presentation of large numbers of items (10^2 to 10^6 or more), sometimes drawn from even larger data sets
 - ◊ Maximum use of human perceptual abilities
 - ◊ Integration of data from potentially disparate (and initially incompatible) sources
- In the end, we still require measurable improvements in information comprehension

The Visual-Information-Seeking-Mantra

- This mantra is viewed as an overall principle behind information visualization as a whole:
 - Overview first, zoom and filter, then details on demand*
 - Overview first, zoom and filter, then details on demand*
 - Overview first, zoom and filter, then details on demand*
 - Overview first, zoom and filter, then details on demand*
- We copy the Shneiderman text's approach of literally repeating this mantra, reflecting both this principle's near-universal applicability and its recursive nature

Seven Types, Seven Tasks

- Shneiderman breaks down the overall area of information visualization into a *data type by task taxonomy*, consisting of seven basic data types and seven basic tasks:
 - ◇ Data types include *1D linear, 2D map, 3D world, multidimensional, temporal, tree, and network*
 - ◇ Tasks include *overview, zoom, filter, details-on-demand, relate, history, and extract*
- Various type/task combinations lend themselves to specific applications (geography, medicine, etc.)

Edward Tufte's Approach

- Edward Tufte's "trilogy" of information visualization books follow a different approach:
 - ◇ *The Visual Display of Quantitative Information* focuses on the presentation of *numeric data*
 - ◇ *Envisioning Information* focuses on the presentation of objects or thing — *nouns*
 - ◇ *Visual Explanations* focuses on the presentation of phenomena or processes — *verbs*
- In relation to interaction design and direct manipulation, the focus on *nouns* (i.e., *Envisioning Information*) appears to be the best fit, though the overall sequence contains valuable information and insight throughout

Data Types

Returning to Shneiderman's *data type by task taxonomy*, the seven basic types for information visualization are:

- *1D linear data*: Sequences of some other data element (characters, numbers, documents, words, etc.)
- *2D map data*: Data with a genuine sense of “area,” such as maps, floor plans, and layouts
- *3D world data*: Data that has “volume,” typically real-world objects and structures; the third dimension adds new challenges regarding navigation and rendering
- *Multidimensional data*: Data with more than 3 dimensions, such as relational or statistical databases where attributes can be thought of as axes in an n -dimensional space; typically uses multiple “layers” of 2D or 3D views
- *Temporal data*: A special case of 1D linear data, where the sequence of elements is based on time; having *start* and *end times* influences the visualization approach
- *Tree data*: Data with a hierarchical, recursive structure, with elements (except the root) having exactly one parent; visualization approaches include outlines, 3D views, and space-filling *treemaps*
- *Network data*: Any graph-structured data, presenting challenges in terms of layout and traversal; going domain-specific may help refine visualization choices

Tasks

An alternate, parallel perspective on information visualization comes from looking at the basic *tasks* involved

- *Overview*: This task seeks to extract the “big picture” out of an overall data set — a “macro” task, in Tufte’s terms
- *Zoom*: This task looks “closer” at some data subset, typically after the overview task has determined specific areas of interest — for Tufte, this is the “micro” view
- *Filter*: This task reduces the current amount of visible data, typically based on a query or predicate

- *Details-on-demand*: Individual data items may have additional (extensive) properties or content; the user/viewer will want easy access to this upon request
- *Relate*: A task that truly leverages visual displays, relating items or groups of items have a broad range of variations and choices, including proximity, containment, connectors, colors, and highlighting
- *History*: An overall information visualization “session” typically involves a sequence of task instances; users may want to review this sequence, allowing backtracking or a review of what has been done so far
- *Extract*: Once a user has found a suitable subset (and presentation), he or she may wish to share or send it, in a separate, distinct form or package

Focus on *Envisioning Information*: Displaying Nouns

- It has been mentioned that the direct manipulation interaction style has a *noun-verb structure* — the *nouns* within a system are displayed first, which a user then *selects* prior to issuing commands or actions (the *verbs*)
- In this light, techniques for presenting nouns are particularly relevant — and Edward Tufte’s *Envisioning Information* provides specific insight on this
- Tufte’s work tends to focus on high-resolution displays (i.e., paper), so may need some adjustment when adapting to existing digital displays

Key issues in *Envisioning Information* include:

- “*Spaceland*” vs. “*flatland*” — Our world and ideas exist in multidimensional space (including time), yet presentation media are two-dimensional; “escaping from flatland” serves as the overall theme within *Envisioning Information*
- *Combined micro/macro views* — “To clarify, add detail:” fine granularity presented with clear, overall structure combines overviews with easy zooming or details
- *Layering and separation* — Human perception is inherently *multimodal*, with colors and the “ $1 + 1 = 3$ ” design principle giving flat displays different levels
- *Small multiples* — Side-by-side variations of related concepts help clarify an overall picture, particularly for comparison purposes

Information Visualization Challenges

Information visualization remains a wide-open field with a number of existing challenges:

- *Importing data* — Marshalling data into an accessible form is harder than many people think; there has been some convergence on XML, but it is no panacea
- *Visual vs. textual* — Visual representations don't obviate the need for labels; the idea is to bring them together in a way that plays to their respective strengths
- *Related data* — Users/viewers may want to jump across data sets...if those sets are available in a compatible form

- *Large volumes* — Data are now available on the order of millions or billions, presenting challenges of scale in terms of technology and approach; note that, given the right presentation, human perception *can* still gain a decent understanding of such large data sets
- *Data mining* — Automatically finding patterns or trends was once viewed as a distinct area, but is now seen as complementary to information visualization, since the right display can highlight such patterns and trends
- *Collaboration* — We frequently need to perceive data sets in groups or teams, so that element needs to factor in
- *Universal usability* — Visualization approaches might lock out certain users, such as the visually impaired or the colorblind, or carry cultural or economic assumptions